

1 NIAL E. LYNCH (State Bar No. 157959)  
NATHANAEL M. COUSINS (State Bar No. 177944)  
2 MAY Y. LEE (State Bar No. 209366)  
BRIGID S. BIERMANN (State Bar No. 231705)  
3 CHARLES P. REICHMANN (State Bar No. 206699)  
Antitrust Division  
4 U.S. Department of Justice  
450 Golden Gate Avenue  
5 Box 36046, Room 10-0101  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
6 Telephone: (415) 436-6660

7  
8 Attorneys for the United States

9  
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA )

14 v. )

15 THOMAS QUINN, )

16 Defendant. )

Case No. 06-0635 SI

STIPULATED NOTICE  
OF RELATED CASE  
IN A CRIMINAL ACTION;  
and [~~PROPOSED~~] ORDER

17 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA )

18 v. )

19 SUN WOO LEE; YEONGHO KANG; and )  
20 YOUNG WOO LEE, )

21 Defendants. )

Case No. CR 06-0180 PJH

22 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA )

23 v. )

24 D.S. KIM, C.K. CHUNG, K.C. SUH, and )  
25 C.Y. CHOI, )

26 Defendants. )

Case No. CR 06-126 PJH

27  
28 [CAPTION CONTINUES ON NEXT PAGE]

1 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

2 v.

3 ELPIDA MEMORY, INC.,

4 Defendant.

Case No. CR 06-0059 PJH

5  
6 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

7 v.

8 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS COMPANY,  
9 LIMITED and SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR,  
10 INC.,

11 Defendants.

Case No. CR 05-643 PJH

12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

13 v.

14 HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC.,

15 Defendant.

Case No. CR 05-249 PJH

16 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

17 v.

18 INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG,

19 Defendant.

Case No. CR 04-299 PJH

20  
21 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

22 v.

23 T. RUDD CORWIN; HEINRICH FLORIAN;  
24 GÜNTER HEFNER; and PETER SCHAEFER,

25 Defendants.

Case No. CR 04-397 PJH

26 Under Crim. L. R. 8-1, the United States and Thomas Quinn ("Defendant"), file this  
27 Stipulated Notice of Related Case in a Criminal Action for this criminal case, United States v.  
28

1 Thomas Quinn, CR 06-635 (SI) to seven previously filed criminal cases assigned to Judge  
2 Phyllis J. Hamilton, United States v. Sun Woo Lee, et al., CR 06-180 (PJH), United States v.  
3 D.S. Kim, et al., CR 06-126 (PJH), United States v. Elpida Memory, CR 06-0059 (PJH), United  
4 States v. Samsung Electronics Company, Limited and Samsung Semiconductor, Inc., CR 05-643  
5 (PJH), United States v. Hynix Semiconductor Inc., CR 05-249 (PJH), United States v. Infineon  
6 Technologies AG, CR 04-299 (PJH), and United States v. T. Rudd Corwin, et al., CR 04-397  
7 (PJH). The United States and Defendant jointly request relating this case to Judge Hamilton.

8 A chronological history of these related criminal cases follows. On September 15, 2004,  
9 the United States filed an Information in United States v. Infineon Technologies AG, charging  
10 Infineon with participating in a conspiracy to fix the prices of DRAM sold to certain computer  
11 manufacturers. On October 20, 2004, Infineon pled guilty for participating in the price-fixing  
12 conspiracy and was sentenced to pay a \$160 million fine before Judge Hamilton. On December  
13 2, 2004, the United States filed an Information in United States v. T. Rudd Corwin, et al.,  
14 charging four individual defendants with participating in the same price-fixing conspiracy in the  
15 DRAM industry. On December 15, 2004, Judge Hamilton sentenced each of the four  
16 individuals. On April 21, 2005, the United States filed an Information in United States v. Hynix  
17 Semiconductor Inc., charging Hynix with participating in the DRAM price-fixing conspiracy.  
18 On May 11, 2005, Hynix pled guilty for participating in the price-fixing conspiracy and was  
19 sentenced by Judge Hamilton to pay a \$185 million fine. On October 13, 2005, the United  
20 States filed an Information in United States v. Samsung Electronics Company, Limited and  
21 Samsung Semiconductor, Inc., charging Samsung with participating in the DRAM price-fixing  
22 conspiracy. On November 30, 2005, Samsung pled guilty for participating in the price-fixing  
23 conspiracy and was sentenced by Judge Hamilton to pay a \$300 million fine. On January 30,  
24 2006, the United States filed an Information in United States v. Elpida Memory, Inc., charging  
25 Elpida with participating in the DRAM price-fixing conspiracy. On March 22, 2006, Elpida  
26 pled guilty for participating in the DRAM price-fixing conspiracy and was sentenced by Judge  
27 Hamilton to pay an \$84 million fine. On March 1, 2006, the United States filed an Information  
28

1 in United States v. D.S. Kim et al., charging four individual defendants with participating in the  
2 DRAM price-fixing conspiracy. On March 15, 2006, Judge Hamilton sentenced each of the  
3 four individuals. Finally, on March 22, 2006, the United States filed an Information in United  
4 States v. Sun Woo Lee, et al., charging three individual defendants with participating in the  
5 DRAM price-fixing conspiracy. On April 2, 2007, Judge Hamilton sentenced two of the three  
6 individuals, Sun Woo Lee and Yeongho Kang. On August 30, Judge Hamilton sentenced the  
7 third individual, Young Woo Lee.

8 The Information charges that Defendant participated in the DRAM price-fixing  
9 conspiracy. There are common events and occurrences alleged in this case and the seven  
10 predecessor cases pending before Judge Hamilton.

11 The assignment of this case to Judge Hamilton is therefore likely to conserve judicial  
12 resources and to promote an efficient determination of the action.

13 Dated: October 6, 2006

14 Respectfully submitted,

15 THOMAS QUINN

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

16  
17 BY: Harriet Leva by NEL  
18 Brian O'Neill  
19 Harriet Leva  
20 Jones Day  
21 555 South Flower Street  
22 Fiftieth Floor  
23 Los Angeles, CA 90071  
24 Tel: (213) 489-3939  
25 Fax: (213) 243-2539

16  
17 BY: Niall E. Lynch  
18 Niall E. Lynch, CA No. 157959  
19 Nathanael M. Cousins, CA No. 177944  
20 May Y. Lee, CA No. 209366  
21 Brigid S. Biermann, CA No. 231705  
22 Charles P. Reichmann, CA No. 206699  
23 Trial Attorneys  
24 U.S. Department of Justice  
25 Antitrust Division  
26 450 Golden Gate Avenue  
27 Box 36046, Room 10-0101  
28 San Francisco, CA 94102  
Tel: (415) 436-6660  
Fax: (415) 436-6687

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

THOMAS QUINN,

Defendant.


Case No. CR 06-0635

**PROPOSED** ORDER

RELATED CRIMINAL CASES

This Court has considered the Stipulated Notice of Related Cases in a Criminal Action under Crim. L. R. 8-1, jointly filed by the United States and defendant Thomas Quinn. Because the assignment of United States v. Thomas Quinn to Judge Phyllis Hamilton is likely to conserve judicial resources and promote an efficient determination of the action, it is ordered that this case is transferred to Judge Hamilton.

Date: October 10, 2006



**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Niall E. Lynch, certify:


That I am a citizen of the United States and am employed by the U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, at 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Room 10-0101, San Francisco, CA 94102; I am over the age of eighteen years; and am not a party to the within action.

That on October 6, 2006, I sent by fax and United States mail a copy of the following pleadings to the party listed in the foregoing Stipulation.

**1. Stipulated Notice of Related Cases in a Criminal Action**

**2. [Proposed] Order on Stipulated Notice of Related Case in a Criminal Action**

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on October 6, 2006, at San Francisco, California.

  
Niall E. Lynch